

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,872 03/22/2001		Lutfollah Maleki	06618-605001 / CIT 3188 6064	
7	590 12/18/2002			
SCOTT C. HARRIS Fish & Richardson P.C. Suite 500			EXAMINER	
			MENEFEE, JAMES A	
4350 La Jolla Village Drive San Diego, CA 92122		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			DATE MAILED: 12/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	A (**)	09/816,872	MALEKI ET AL.			
t	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		James A. Menefee	2828			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
TH: - E: - If - If - F: - A	EHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY E MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Attensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 atter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we ailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, may reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a)[☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.				
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.		Paul Do			
6)[2	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.		,			
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.	SHIDE	PAUL IP VISORY PATENT EXAMINER			
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r alaction requirement	HNOLOGY CENTER 2800			
	ation Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
44\	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
		nriority under 35 LLS C & 110	(a)_(d) or (f)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
· ·-						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachm	•					
2) 🔲 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>5.</u>	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/816,872

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,389,197. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. The claims of '197 are drawn to a whispering gallery mode resonator coupled to a waveguide. Such a broad whispering gallery mode resonator covers the more specific whispering gallery mode resonator of the present invention. Whispering gallery mode resonators are known to be evanescently coupled to waveguides, and the method of coupling would inherently be applicable for all whispering gallery mode resonators, including the whispering gallery mode resonator claimed in the present invention.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 6,487,233. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. The claims

. Application/Control Number: 09/816,872

Art Unit: 2828

72 Page 3

of '233 are drawn to a dielectric, spherical, whispering gallery mode resonator coupled to a fiber.

Such a broad whispering gallery mode resonator covers the more specific whispering gallery

mode resonator of the present invention. Whispering gallery mode resonators are known to be

evanescently coupled to fibers, and the method of coupling would inherently be applicable for all

whispering gallery mode resonators, including the whispering gallery mode resonator claimed in

the present invention.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The other prior art also show broader whispering gallery mode resonators.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James A. Menefee whose telephone number is (703) 605-4367.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

PAUL IP

Paul Do

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

JM December 11, 2002